

Novogradac Journal of Tax Credits

News, Analysis and Commentary On Affordable Housing, Community Development and Renewable Energy Tax Credits

January 2012, Volume III, Issue I

Published by Novogradac & Company LLP

WASHINGTON WIRE



Regulators Prepare to Implement Volcker Rule

By Michael J. Novogradac, CPA

More than 18 months after the passage of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, implementation of one of the bill's major provisions is on the horizon and the results could have significant implications for the tax credit community. Section 619 of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act contains a provision commonly referred to as the Volcker Rule, named for former Federal Reserve Chairman Paul Volcker who originally proposed restricting banks from making certain kinds of speculative investments.



On October 11, 2011 the Federal Reserve, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC), Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (OCC) and Securities and Exchange Commission released proposed regulations for applying the Volcker Rule. At the time of this writing, the deadline for comments on the proposal was January 13, but some reports indicated the deadline could be extended to allow for additional comment. The Dodd-Frank bill requires that the rule's provisions be implemented by July 21, 2012.

The Volcker Rule is particularly relevant to the tax credit community because it generally limits banks' ability to make equity investments, with certain exceptions. The rule prohibits banks and their holding companies from investing in hedge funds and private equity funds. Because the

bill's definition of private equity funds applies to equity investments in a broad range of limited liability company (LLC) and limited partnership (LP) transactions, the tax credit community - including the New Markets Tax Credit Working Group, Low-Income Housing Tax Credit Working Group and Renewable Energy Tax Credit Working Group - are submitting comments about the Volcker Rule's potential effect on the tax credit equity market.

Exceptions

Section 619(d)(1) of the Dodd-Frank Act does allow several permissible activities as exceptions to the rule. Specifically, investments that meet the "public welfare" definition of Section 5136 of the Revised Statutes (12 U.S.C. 24) are identified as a permitted activity. Within this same exception, the historic rehabilitation tax credit (HTC) is specifically exempted. The HTC's exemption was the result of quick and decisive action by the Historic Tax Credit Coalition (HTCC) while the bill progressed through Congress.

However, no other tax credit programs received the same exclusion. This has created concern among the affordable housing, community development and renewable energy communities that the Volcker Rule could be interpreted in a manner that would prevent banking entities from making investments in transactions that involve tax credits that were not statutorily exempted, such as renewable energy tax credits (RETCs) and, to a somewhat lesser extent, the new markets tax credit (NMTC) and low-income housing tax credit (LIHTC).

Public Welfare Investments

Public welfare investments are further defined elsewhere in

continued on page 2

continued from page 1

the Dodd-Frank bill as investments designed primarily to promote the public welfare, including the welfare of low- and moderate-income communities or families (such as by providing housing, services or jobs). A national banking association may make such investments directly or by purchasing interests in an entity primarily engaged in making such investments.

The NMTC and LIHTC communities applauded the inclusion of this language because it recognizes the congressionally legislated intent of the NMTC and LIHTC. However, while the LIHTC and NMTC qualify as public welfare investments and HTCs were explicitly excepted from the Volcker Rule, implications for the RETC are less certain. Some RETC investments may qualify as public welfare investments based on factors such as location or population served, while other RETC investments will not. Some have suggested that banks could invest in those RETC investments that do not qualify as public welfare investments as “operating” companies rather than as “investment” companies.

The NMTC Working Group and LIHTC Working Group, in letters submitted to the Financial Stability Oversight Council on November 5, 2010, requested that specific guidance be issued that the LIHTC, NMTC and similar tax credit programs, as well as state and local programs that were created for similar purposes as the federal tax credits, qualify as permissible activities by a banking entity, as they meet the requirements of promoting public welfare under the Dodd-Frank bill’s definition. This treatment would be consistent with the explicit exception made for the HTC.

The groups suggested the guidance allowing investments in RETCs, NMTCs and LIHTCs as permissible activities should be similar to guidance provided in the Joint Committee on Taxation’s (JCT) footnote 344 in its report on the Health Care and Education Affordability Reconciliation Act of 2010. In footnote 344, discussing codification of the economic substance doctrine, the JCT said:

“If the realization of the tax benefits of a transaction is consistent with the Congressional purpose or plan that the tax benefits were designed by Congress to effectuate, it is not intended that such tax benefits be disallowed ... Thus, for example, it is not intended that a tax credit (e.g., section 42 (low-income housing credit), section 45 (production tax credit), section 45D (new markets tax credit), section 47 (rehabilitation credit), section 48 (energy credit), etc.) be disallowed in a transaction pursuant to which, in form and substance, a taxpayer makes the type of investment or undertakes the type of activity that the credit was intended to encourage.”

Because banks are such a sizeable portion of the tax credit equity market, guidance allowing banks to invest in the LIHTC, NMTC and RETC could prevent a dramatic decrease in the amount of

continued on page 3

Novogradac Journal of Tax Credits Editorial Board

PUBLISHER

Michael J. Novogradac, CPA

MANAGING EDITOR

Alex Ruiz

EDITOR

Jane Bowar Zastrow

TECHNICAL EDITORS

Robert S. Thesman, CPA

James R. Kroger, CPA

Owen P. Gray, CPA

Thomas Boccia, CPA

Daniel J. Smith, CPA

ASSIGNMENT EDITOR

Jennifer Dockery

STAFF WRITER

Jennifer Hill

CONTRIBUTING WRITERS

Tom Boccia

Brad Elphick

Tony Grappone

Jenny Ho

Jim Kroger

Peter Lawrence

John Leith-Tetrault

Forrest David Milder

Ryan Rieger

John Sciarretti

Ruth Sparrow

Thomas Stagg

John Tess

CARTOGRAPHER

David R. Grubman

PRODUCTION

Jesse Barredo

James Matuszak

Novogradac Journal of Tax Credits Information

Correspondence and editorial submissions:
Alex Ruiz / 415.356.8088

Inquiries regarding advertising opportunities:
Emil Bagalso / 415.356.8037

Editorial material in this publication is for informational purposes only and should not be construed otherwise. Advice and interpretation regarding the low-income housing tax credit or any other material covered in this publication can only be obtained from your tax advisor.



**NOVOGRADAC
& COMPANY** LLP

CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

© Novogradac & Company LLP

2012 All rights reserved.

ISSN 2152-646X

Reproduction of this publication in whole or in part in any form without written permission from the publisher is prohibited by law.

Novogradac Journal of Tax Credits Advisory Board

LOW-INCOME HOUSING TAX CREDITS

Bud Clarke	BOSTON FINANCIAL INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT
Jana Cohen Barbe	SNR DENTON
Tom Dixon	BOSTON CAPITAL
Valerie White	STANDARD & POOR'S CORPORATION
Rick Edson	HOUSING CAPITAL ADVISORS INC.
Richard Gerwitz	CITI COMMUNITY CAPITAL
Rochelle Lento	DYKEMA GOSSETT PLLC
John Lisella	U.S. BANCORP COMMUNITY DEV. CORP.
Phillip Melton	CENTERLINE CAPITAL GROUP
Thomas Morton	PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP
Stephen Ryan	COX, CASTLE & NICHOLSON LLP
Arnold Schuster	SNR DENTON
Mary Tingerthal	MINNESOTA HOUSING FINANCE AGENCY
Rob Wasserman	U.S. BANCORP COMMUNITY DEV. CORP.

PROPERTY COMPLIANCE

Rose Guerrero	CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE
Sharon Jackman	SIG SERVICES LLC
Michael Kotin	KAY KAY REALTY
Michael Snowdon	MCA HOUSING PARTNERS
Gianna Solari	SOLARI ENTERPRISES
Ruth Theobald Probst	THEOPRO COMPLIANCE & CONSULT. INC.
Kimberly Taylor	HOUSING DEVELOPMENT CENTER

HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

Sheldon Schreiber	PEPPER HAMILTON LLP
Monica Sussman	NIXON PEABODY LLP

NEW MARKETS TAX CREDITS

Frank Altman	COMMUNITY REINVESTMENT FUND
Bruce Bonjour	PERKINS COIE LLC
Neil Kimmelfield	LANE POWELL
Marc Hirshman	U.S. BANCORP COMMUNITY DEV. CORP.
Scott Lindquist	SNR DENTON
Ruth Sparrow	FUTURES UNLIMITED LAW PC
Herb Stevens	NIXON PEABODY LLP
Tom Tracy	HUNTER CHASE & COMPANY
Joseph Wesolowski	ENTERPRISE COMMUNITY INVESTMENT INC.

HISTORIC TAX CREDITS

Don Holm	HOLM LAW FIRM
John Leith-Tetrault	NATIONAL TRUST COMM. INVESTMENT CORP.
Bill MacRostie	MACROSTIE HISTORIC ADVISORS LLC
Donna Rodney	BRYAN CAVE LLP
John Tess	HERITAGE CONSULTING GROUP

RENEWABLE ENERGY TAX CREDITS

Ed Feo	USRG RENEWABLE FINANCE
Michael Hall	BORREGO SOLAR SYSTEMS
Jim Howard	DUDLEY VENTURES
Forrest Milder	NIXON PEABODY LLP
Darren Van't Hof	U.S. BANCORP COMMUNITY DEV. CORP.

continued from page 2

subsidy available for the congressionally mandated goals the tax credits were enacted to achieve.

Investor, Sponsor or Guarantor?

It's important to note that the rule distinguishes between banks' roles as investors versus their roles as sponsors. Section 13 of the proposed rule permits banking entities to acquire an ownership interest in, or act as sponsor to an investment that is designed primarily to promote the public welfare, or an investment in historic tax credits. As such, it appears a bank may act as a sponsor to funds comprised of LIHTC, NMTC or HTC investments. Again, it is unclear to what extent banks could sponsor RETC funds under this provision.

In the preamble to the proposed rule, the agencies say, "In addition to the acquisition or retention of an ownership interest, permitting a banking entity to act as sponsor to these types of public interest investments will provide valuable expertise and services to these types of entities, as well as help enable banking entities to provide valuable funding and assistance to small business and low- and moderate-income communities. Therefore, the agencies believe this exemption would be consistent with the safe and sound operation of banking entities, and would also promote the financial stability of the United States."

The proposed rule does appear to impose a limit on the ability of banks to engage in "covered transactions" with otherwise permissible equity fund investments. For example, it is unclear as to whether or not a bank may guarantee the performance of a tax credit fund because a guarantee is considered a "covered transaction" under Section 16 of the proposed rule. However, it is widely believed in the tax credit community that if a bank is permitted to invest in a fund under the public welfare exemption, then that fund should not be subject to the limit on "covered transactions."

High-Risk Investments and Material Conflicts of Interest

Two additional points of consideration under the Volcker Rule are whether investments in certain transactions cause a material conflict of interest, or cause material exposure to high-risk assets or high-risk trading strategies. In both cases, a banking entity's involvement in NMTC, LIHTC or RETC transactions should not cause concern.

The size of most tax credit transactions compared to a banking entity's overall transactions is very small and generally not material to the banks. Moreover, investments made under the LIHTC, RETC and NMTC programs are strictly monitored for compliance by a variety of government agencies, including one or more of the following: the Internal Revenue Service, Treasury Department, state housing agencies and Community Development Financial Institutions (CDFI) Fund. In addition, the recapture rates for these programs are believed to be extremely low, which is a strong tes-

continued on page 4

continued from page 3

tament to the investments' soundness.

Conclusion

The affordable housing, community development and renewable energy communities do not believe that the intent of the Volcker Rule was to limit the involvement

of banking entities in the LIHTC, RETC or NMTC programs, or other similar tax credits. If regulators issue positive guidance confirming this belief, it would help alleviate industry concerns that may be preventing banking entities from participating in these important, job-creating programs. ♦

This article first appeared in the January 2012 issue of the Novogradac Journal of Tax Credits.

© Novogradac & Company LLP 2012 - All Rights Reserved

Notice pursuant to IRS regulations: Any U.S. federal tax advice contained in this article is not intended to be used, and cannot be used, by any taxpayer for the purpose of avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue Code; nor is any such advice intended to be used to support the promotion or marketing of a transaction. Any advice expressed in this article is limited to the federal tax issues addressed in it. Additional issues may exist outside the limited scope of any advice provided – any such advice does not consider or provide a conclusion with respect to any additional issues. Taxpayers contemplating undertaking a transaction should seek advice based on their particular circumstances.

This editorial material is for informational purposes only and should not be construed otherwise. Advice and interpretation regarding property compliance or any other material covered in this article can only be obtained from your tax advisor. For further information visit www.novoco.com.

[Special offer for E-newsletter only subscribers:](#)

Click here for **20% off** an introductory
12-Month Print Subscription to the Journal of Tax Credits.