

# Novogradac Journal of Tax Credits

News, Analysis and Commentary On Affordable Housing, Community Development and Renewable Energy Tax Credits

June 2012, Volume III, Issue VI

Published by Novogradac & Company LLP

**Q&A**

## **GAAP for Master Tenant Structures**

**By Frank Buss, CPA, Novogradac & Company LLP**

**Q**uestion: How would a historic tax credit (HTC) investor in a master tenant structure account for its investment in accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP)?

**A**nswer: This is a frequent question among HTC investors and syndicators breaking into the HTC market. For the answer we need to review our relevant GAAP literature regarding investments and look at several options. Questions need to be asked. Does the investment meet the standards to be accounted for on the cost method of accounting? Does the investment need to be accounted for on the equity method of accounting? Or is the investment a variable interest entity (VIE) that should be accounted for as a consolidation?

While a VIE analysis is outside the scope of this Q&A, generally, one would conclude under Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 810-10 that the investment is a VIE, but that the investor is not the primary beneficiary and thus does not consolidate its investment. Therefore, we next look to Accounting Principles Board (APB) 18 for guidance. Under APB 18, or FASB ASC 323-10-15, an investor generally records an investment under the cost method when the investment is less than 20 percent of the voting stock of an investee and the investor does not have the ability to exercise a significant influence over the investee. If, however, the investor owns more than 20 percent of the voting stock of the investee and has the ability to exercise significant influence over the operating and financial policies of the investee, the investment should be accounted for using the equity method of accounting. Based on this guidance, it would seem appropriate that most HTC investments would fall into the equity method of accounting for GAAP purposes.

Under the equity method of accounting, the investor initially records the investment at cost and adjusts the investment balance for income or loss it is allocated and cash contributions made and distributions received. Additionally, the investment balance should be adjusted for any impairment in value that may exist. That brings up another common question, "How would an impairment charge on the HTC investment be determined and when should it be recorded?" With an HTC investment, substantially all of the benefits are received by the investor at the time the underlying project is placed in service. Although there is a five-year holding period for compliance purposes, the HTC benefit occurs in year one, with additional annual priority cash returns of approximately 2 to 4 percent received throughout the life of the investment. As a result, a large impairment charge of the HTC investment in the year that the underlying property is placed in service would be common. Generally, the investment value remaining after the placed-in-service date would be the investor's expected cash flows, any remaining tax benefits and the investment's residual value.

Even though the investment is ordinarily written off in year one due to the impairment calculation, there is a deferred tax component that needs to be considered for GAAP purposes. This is due to the basis of the investment being significantly different for GAAP as compared to the income tax basis. The investment basis for tax purposes is affected only by the pass through income or loss from the investee tax returns and contributions and distributions, but not impairment. This tax accounting is different for an investment in a master tenant structure than in a direct investment in an HTC project. A direct investment would require a reduction in the tax basis of the investment in the amount of the historic credit claimed. For the master tenant structure, this

*continued on page 2*

*continued from page 1*

reduction does not occur; conversely the master tenant realizes income based on the credit claimed over the life of the underlying asset. For the master tenant structure the difference between the GAAP and tax accounting gives rise to a basis difference that results in a deferred tax asset (DTA). The DTA is a future tax benefit expected to be realized at the time of the disposition of the investment (generally after the HTC compliance period) due to the investment having a higher basis for tax purposes than for GAAP purposes.

Another consideration for accounting for an investor's HTC investment may be to use the fair market value approach. FASB 159, The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities, specifies how an investor is able to elect the fair value option for financial assets or financial liabilities. Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction and is based on either observable inputs, such as quoted prices, or unobservable inputs that may reflect an entity's own assumptions.

While the fair value approach is a viable option for recording the value of an HTC investment, the result would likely be the same as with the equity method of accounting taking into account the effect of impairment charges. This occurs since the fair value of the HTC investment is almost entirely based on the HTC itself, which would be realized in year one of the investment. The remaining fair value would then be based on the future cash flow stream, the same as with the equity method of accounting.

It is worth noting that there are many ways that investors may currently be accounting for their HTC investments, although it seems the most widely used choice would be the equity method of accounting along with an annual review for impairment. To summarize, any impairment loss reduces the investment balance and flows through the statement of operations as a charge against current GAAP earnings. Additionally, accounting for the GAAP/tax difference of the carrying value of the HTC investment will also flow through as a current credit to GAAP earnings. Investors should keep in mind that any HTC not used is recorded as a deferred tax asset on their balance sheets until utilized.

As one can see, the equity method of accounting is relatively simple to implement; however, there are a host of other GAAP considerations that investors must keep in mind while recording their investments in HTC projects. ♦

*This article first appeared in the June 2012 issue of the Novogradac Journal of Tax Credits.*

*continued on page 3*

## Novogradac Journal of Tax Credits Editorial Board

### PUBLISHER

Michael J. Novogradac, CPA

### MANAGING EDITOR

Alex Ruiz

### EDITOR

Jane Bowar Zastrow

### TECHNICAL EDITORS

Robert S. Thesman, CPA

James R. Kroger, CPA

Owen P. Gray, CPA

Thomas Boccia, CPA

Daniel J. Smith, CPA

### ASSIGNMENT EDITOR

Jennifer Dockery

### STAFF WRITER

Jennifer Hill

### CONTRIBUTING WRITERS

Colette Alpen

Frank Buss

Benjamin Cook

Brandi Day

Mike Hannon

Jon E. Krabbenschmidt

Jim Kroger

Peter Lawrence

Diana Letsinger

Scott A. Lindquist

Forrest David Milder

Ruth Theobald Probst

Craig Staswick

Kimberly Taylor

### CARTOGRAPHER

David R. Grubman

### PRODUCTION

Alexandra Louie

Jesse Barredo

James Matuszak

## Novogradac Journal of Tax Credits Information

Correspondence and editorial submissions:  
Alex Ruiz / 415.356.8088

Inquiries regarding advertising opportunities:  
Emil Bagalso / 415.356.8037

Editorial material in this publication is for informational purposes only and should not be construed otherwise. Advice and interpretation regarding the low-income housing tax credit or any other material covered in this publication can only be obtained from your tax advisor.



**NOVOGRADAC  
& COMPANY** LLP®

CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

© Novogradac & Company LLP  
2012 All rights reserved.  
ISSN 2152-646X

Reproduction of this publication in whole or in part in any form without written permission from the publisher is prohibited by law.

## Novogradac Journal of Tax Credits Advisory Board

### LOW-INCOME HOUSING TAX CREDITS

Bud Clarke	BOSTON FINANCIAL INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT
Jana Cohen Barbe	SNR DENTON
Tom Dixon	BOSTON CAPITAL
Rick Edson	HOUSING CAPITAL ADVISORS INC.
Richard Gerwitz	CITI COMMUNITY CAPITAL
Rochelle Lento	DYKEMA GOSSETT PLLC
John Lisella	U.S. BANCORP COMMUNITY DEV. CORP.
Phillip Melton	CENTERLINE CAPITAL GROUP
Thomas Morton	PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP
Arnold Schuster	SNR DENTON
Mary Tingerthal	MINNESOTA HOUSING FINANCE AGENCY
Rob Wasserman	U.S. BANCORP COMMUNITY DEV. CORP.

### PROPERTY COMPLIANCE

Sharon Jackman	SIG SERVICES LLC
Michael Kotin	KAY KAY REALTY
Michael Snowdon	MCA HOUSING PARTNERS
Gianna Solari	SOLARI ENTERPRISES
Ruth Theobald Probst	THEOPRO COMPLIANCE & CONSULT. INC.
Kimberly Taylor	HOUSING DEVELOPMENT CENTER

### HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

Ray Landry	DAVIS-PENN MORTGAGE CO.
Sheldon Schreiber	PEPPER HAMILTON LLP
Monica Sussman	NIXON PEABODY LLP

### NEW MARKETS TAX CREDITS

Frank Altman	COMMUNITY REINVESTMENT FUND
Merrill Hoopengardner	ADVANTAGE CAPITAL
Scott Lindquist	SNR DENTON
Matthew Philpott	U.S. BANCORP COMMUNITY DEV. CORP.
Matthew Reilein	JPMORGAN CHASE BANK NA
Ruth Sparrow	FUTURES UNLIMITED LAW PC
Joseph Wesolowski	ENTERPRISE COMMUNITY INVESTMENT INC.

### HISTORIC TAX CREDITS

Don Holm	HOLM LAW FIRM
John Leith-Tetrault	NATIONAL TRUST COMM. INVESTMENT CORP.
Bill MacRostie	MACROSTIE HISTORIC ADVISORS LLC
John Tess	HERITAGE CONSULTING GROUP

### RENEWABLE ENERGY TAX CREDITS

Ben Cook	SOLARCITY CORPORATION
Jim Howard	DUDLEY VENTURES
Forrest Milder	NIXON PEABODY LLP
Darren Van't Hof	U.S. BANCORP COMMUNITY DEV. CORP.

continued from page 2

© Novogradac & Company LLP 2012 - All Rights Reserved

*Notice pursuant to IRS regulations: Any U.S. federal tax advice contained in this article is not intended to be used, and cannot be used, by any taxpayer for the purpose of avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue Code; nor is any such advice intended to be used to support the promotion or marketing of a transaction. Any advice expressed in this article is limited to the federal tax issues addressed in it. Additional issues may exist outside the limited scope of any advice provided – any such advice does not consider or provide a conclusion with respect to any additional issues. Taxpayers contemplating undertaking a transaction should seek advice based on their particular circumstances.*

*This editorial material is for informational purposes only and should not be construed otherwise. Advice and interpretation regarding property compliance or any other material covered in this article can only be obtained from your tax advisor. For further information visit [www.novoco.com](http://www.novoco.com).*