



Income Issues for Rideshare Drivers

DAT KSOR, CPA, NOVOGRADAC & COMPANY LLP



The popularity of rideshare services like Uber and Lyft has been on the rise as an alternative form of private transportation. Rideshare drivers earn extra income with the benefit of working flexible hours. The recent surge in the number of low-income housing tax credit (LIHTC) property tenants working as drivers in the rideshare industry creates new challenges for property managers to verify, calculate and document income information. Potential questions and issues on compliance with IRS regulations on low-income housing will arise that need to be addressed.

Question: Should rideshare income be treated as employment or self-employment income?

Answer: A LIHTC property tenant who is working as a driver in the rideshare industry is generally considered an independent contractor and should be treated as self-employed. When a tenant is self-employed, the tenant is responsible for recordkeeping of the business operation. According to the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) Form 8823 Guide (8823 Guide), record keeping of the business activity is essential because “the net income from the operation of a business” is counted as tenant income for qualification purposes.

Because net income is used for qualification purposes, the tenant is required to keep track of all revenue and expenses. Acceptable expenses to be included when arriving at net income include amenities for passenger (water, gum), tolls, parking fees, maintenance, gas and vehicle loan interest. Only the expense portion that is related to the business use of the vehicle can be deducted. The tenant should have receipts, logs, and other documentations to support the vehicle expenses especially when the vehicle is also being use for personal transportation. The tenant is also allowed to deduct depreciation on their vehicle. It is important to note that according to the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Handbook 4350.3 Rev-1 CHG-3 (HUD Handbook), the depreciation must be calculated on a straight line basis. However, if the tenant is claiming depreciation on the tax return they likely use an accelerated depreciation method and not straight line depreciation on the tax return, so the depreciation will be less for purposes of the HUD Handbook.

Question: How should property managers verify the income of a rideshare driver?

Answer: According to the HUD Handbook, when a tenant is self-employed, the property manager

continued from page 1

should obtain a financial statement of the business and the tenant's prior year tax return and Schedule C as support for the self-employment income. In situations where the tenant is unable to provide a financial statement of the business and/or the prior year tax return, a notarized statement or affidavit showing the net income for a business may be accepted. In addition, property managers may request a summary of payments to the tenant from the rideshare company. It is always recommended to discuss with the local state agencies for any additional requirements on income documentation.

Question: How should rideshare driver income be calculated?

Answer: The 8823 Guide, outlines two methods for calculating income from a business, using the prior year tax return or annualize the current year income.

The 8823 Guide appears to allow the owner to use the income reported on the prior year tax return as the income for the up-coming year. However, we would caution against relying solely on the prior year's tax return. On many occasions, the self-employment included on the tax return is only for a partial year of operations, or the tenant may be working more or less hours in the current year. Ignoring any of these factors and merely relying on the prior year tax return may result in a non-qualified tenant occupying a LIHTC unit. Therefore, the property manager should ensure that the self-employment income listed on the tax return is for a full 12-month period and that there are no anticipated changes in income.

Alternatively, the 8823 Guide allows the property manager to "use the current year business activity based on the number of full months in business" to calculate the tenant's income. This method should be used when the tenant cannot produce a tax return, the tenant started the business in the certification year,

or the current year income from the business will be inconsistent with the prior year's income from the business. Property managers should communicate to the tenants the importance of complete records and how the records are used to calculate income. In situations where reliable records are available, according to the 8823 Guide, property managers should obtain the profit and loss statements of the number of full months in business and then annualized the income by the average of the number of full months in business. In situations where records are not available and the tenant just started working as a driver, property managers should use care when evaluating the tenant's income. It is recommended that the property manager obtain a notarized statement or affidavit showing the anticipated net income. The property manager should communicate with the tenant that it is the tenant's obligation to notify the property of any changes to the net income within the certification year.

Property managers may run into situations where all the information is not readily available to calculate the tenant's income. When the circumstances are difficult or challenging, according to the 8823 Guide, "owners are expected to make reasonable judgment regarding the most reliable method of estimating the income of household will receive during the year." When there is ambiguity with calculating the tenant's income, the property manager should ask additional questions and document the assumptions in the file. For example, the property manager should ask how many hours a week the tenant is working, average pay per week, etc. The tenant's responses to these questions, property manager's assumptions, and any supporting documentation should be clearly documented in the file.

When the property manager makes assumptions or there is ambiguity surrounding a tenant's income, care should be given to make sure the property manager documents assumptions and the reasoning for how they solved the ambiguity. It is important to include

continued on page 3

continued from page 2

the details of the assumptions and the conclusion of the tenant's income. Some of the best practices for documentation include: clearly identify the issues, have concise and legible writing, have assumptions supported, and have a conclusion. When there are gray areas, it is recommended to discuss with the local state agencies for any additional requirements on income documentation.

Conclusion

The tenant's net income from the rideshare business should be included in the tenant's income as self-employment income from a business; verification of that income will likely include self-affidavits or prior year tax returns. Documenting tenant income for rideshare companies can be difficult and requires property managers to use their best judgment. ❖

This article first appeared in the December 2016 issue of the Novogradac Journal of Tax Credits.

© Novogradac & Company LLP 2016 - All Rights Reserved

Notice pursuant to IRS regulations: Any U.S. federal tax advice contained in this article is not intended to be used, and cannot be used, by any taxpayer for the purpose of avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue Code; nor is any such advice intended to be used to support the promotion or marketing of a transaction. Any advice expressed in this article is limited to the federal tax issues addressed in it. Additional issues may exist outside the limited scope of any advice provided – any such advice does not consider or provide a conclusion with respect to any additional issues. Taxpayers contemplating undertaking a transaction should seek advice based on their particular circumstances.

This editorial material is for informational purposes only and should not be construed otherwise. Advice and interpretation regarding property compliance or any other material covered in this article can only be obtained from your tax advisor. For further information visit www.novoco.com.



EDITORIAL BOARD

PUBLISHER

Michael J. Novogradac, CPA

EDITORIAL DIRECTOR

Alex Ruiz

TECHNICAL EDITORS

Michael G. Morrison, CPA

James R. Kroger, CPA

Owen P. Gray, CPA

Thomas Boccia, CPA

Daniel J. Smith, CPA

COPY

ASSIGNMENT EDITOR

Brad Stanhope

SENIOR WRITER

Teresa Garcia

STAFF WRITER

Mark O'Meara

CONTENT MANAGEMENT ASSOCIATE

Elizabeth Orfin

CONTRIBUTING WRITERS

Colette Drexel

Jodie Fish

Owen Gray

Dat Ksor

Bill MacRostie

Forrest Milder

Charlie Rhuda,

Tom Schneider

John M. Tess

ART

CARTOGRAPHER

David R. Grubman

PRODUCTION

Alexandra Louie

James Matuszak

Jesse Barredo

CONTACT

CORRESPONDENCE AND EDITORIAL SUBMISSIONS

Alex Ruiz

alex.ruiz@novoco.com

415.356.8088

ADVERTISING INQUIRIES

Carol Hough

carol.hough@novoco.com

415.356.8040

EDITORIAL MATERIAL IN THIS PUBLICATION IS FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY AND SHOULD NOT BE CONSTRUED OTHERWISE.

ADVICE AND INTERPRETATION REGARDING THE LOW-INCOME HOUSING TAX CREDIT OR ANY OTHER MATERIAL COVERED IN THIS PUBLICATION CAN ONLY BE OBTAINED FROM YOUR TAX ADVISOR.

ADVISORY BOARD

LOW-INCOME HOUSING TAX CREDITS

Bud Clarke

BOSTON FINANCIAL INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT

Jana Cohen Barbe

DENTONS

Tom Dixon

BOSTON CAPITAL

Rick Edson

HOUSING CAPITAL ADVISORS INC.

Richard Gerwitz

CITI COMMUNITY CAPITAL

Rochelle Lento

DYKEMA GOSSETT PLLC

John Lisella

U.S. BANCORP COMMUNITY DEV. CORP.

Philip Melton

BELLWETHER ENTERPRISE

Thomas Morton

PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP

Mary Tingerthal

MINNESOTA HOUSING FINANCE AGENCY

Rob Wasserman

U.S. BANCORP COMMUNITY DEV. CORP.

PROPERTY COMPLIANCE

Michael Kotin

KAY KAY REALTY

Michael Snowden

HIGHRIDGE COSTA HOUSING PARTNERS

Gianna Solari

SOLARI ENTERPRISES INC.

Kimberly Taylor

HOUSING DEVELOPMENT CENTER

HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

Flynann Janisse

RAINBOW HOUSING

Ray Landry

DAVIS-PENN MORTGAGE CO.

Denise Muha

NATIONAL LEASED HOUSING ASSOCIATION

Monica Sussman

NIXON PEABODY LLP

NEW MARKETS TAX CREDITS

Frank Altman

COMMUNITY REINVESTMENT FUND

Merrill Hoopengardner

NATIONAL TRUST COMMUNITY INVESTMENT CORP.

Scott Lindquist

DENTONS

Matthew Philpott

U.S. BANCORP COMMUNITY DEV. CORP.

Ruth Sparrow

FUTURES UNLIMITED LAW PC

Elaine DiPietro

ENTERPRISE COMMUNITY INVESTMENT INC.

HISTORIC TAX CREDITS

Jason Korb

CAPSTONE COMMUNITIES

John Leith-Tetrault

NATIONAL TRUST COMM. INVESTMENT CORP.

Bill MacRostie

MACROSTIE HISTORIC ADVISORS LLC

John Tess

HERITAGE CONSULTING GROUP

RENEWABLE ENERGY TAX CREDITS

Bill Bush

BORREGO SOLAR

Ben Cook

SOLARCITY CORPORATION

Jim Howard

DUDLEY VENTURES

Forrest Milder

NIXON PEABODY LLP

© Novogradac & Company LLP

2016 All rights reserved.

ISSN 2152-646X

Reproduction of this publication in whole or in part in any form without written permission from the publisher is prohibited by law.