

2017 Qualified Allocation Plan Project Plan

12/16/2015 Meeting Notes Outline

- 1) Priorities should be supported by points
 - a) Priorities should be data-driven
 - b) Objective decisions are important
 - c) Potential policy priorities
 - i) Preservation
 - ii) New Construction
 - iii) Fair housing concerns
 - iv) Aging in Place
 - v) Elderly
 - vi) Supportive Housing
 - vii) Rural development
 - viii)Geographic dispersion

- d) Rotating priorities over years as opposed to proportionate allocation every year
 - i) Set priorities in 3-5 year cycles
 - ii) Create units proportionate to need over time
- e) Use the right tool to serve certain populations over time
 - i) Housing focus as opposed to focus on services, other facets
- 2) Concern regarding the impact of multiple developers looking at the same property in high opportunity areas may be driving up acquisition costs.
 - i) Good real estate should be emphasized over chasing points
 - ii) Points should be equalized or some deals will be obvious winners
 - iii) High Opportunity infill could be better defined
 - (1) Changes in neighborhoods are not captured by HUD data
 - (2) What are other measurement tools?
- 3) Conversation regarding Statute and the upcoming legislative session.
 - i) Next legislative session is opportunity to re-assess the statute
 - ii) Development community and stakeholder input will be vital
- 4) Attendees would like to see the QAP finalized earlier. Staff committed to research the feasibility of this request within statutory requirements and staffing limitations.
 - i) Lack of assurance regarding the final Rule creates credibility issues for developers working with local governments
 - ii) Request to move the formal process earlier in the calendar
- 5) Board involvement earlier in the QAP process will provide direction
 - a) Potential Policy topics
 - i) Elderly development

	ii)	Preservation	
		(1) Urban	
		(2) Rural	
	iii)	Supportive housing	
	iv)	Persons with Disabilities	
	v)	Gentrification	
	vi)	Historic Rehabilitation	
	vii)	Veterans	
	viii)	High Opportunity and Community Revitalization	
	ix)	Educational Excellence	
	x)	Impact of major grants on scoring	
	xi)	Rotating Priorities	
		(1) Regional priorities	
		(2) Creation of units proportional to need	
		(3) Maximize public resources	
	xii)	Good real estate prioritized over chasing points	
	xiii)	Housing program balance with Housing and Service Program	
Topics for future meetings			
a)	Jan	uary - Concerted Revitalization Plans	
	i)	Objective measures	
	ii)	Local issues	
	iii)	Rural/Urban	
	iv)	Employment impact	
	v)	Access	
b)	Feb	oruary - Elderly development and Aging in Place	

6)

c)	Ma	rch - High Opportunity; Location
	i)	Rural/Urban
	ii)	Geographic Measures
	iii)	Gentrification and changing neighborhoods
	iv)	Access
	v)	Public Resources
	vi)	Other measures
d)	Ma	rch - Application Process (staff suggestion for 2 nd March meeting)
	i)	Debrief on the 9% Application Process
		(1) Issues encountered
		(2) Alternative methods
	ii)	Debrief on the Direct Loan Process
		(1) Cross-cutting requirements
e)	Ар	ril - High Opportunity; Populations
	i)	Employment opportunity
	ii)	NIMBYISM
	iii)	Poverty levels
	iv)	Schools
	v)	Other measures
f)	Ma	y - Chapter 10 changes (staff suggestion)
	i)	Direct Loan rules

ii) Undesirable Site and Neighborhood Standards

Topic-specific meetings to be scheduled separately

Supportive Housing

Rural needs

i)

- iii) Preservation
- iv) Historic rehabilitation
- v) National Housing Trust Funds (staff suggestion)