



Appendix 25 – LIHTC LOCATION TABLES EXPLANATION

RENTAL HOUSING CONSTRUCTION LOCATION INDEX

Municipalities are scored according to the following characteristics:

Area Rent/Income Adequacy: measured by determining what percentage of the municipality's average contract rent can be covered by 30 percent of 50 percent of area median income for a family of two. Median incomes are the 2000 HUD estimates and rents are the average contract rents measured by the 2000 Census.

Poverty: measures the percentage of the town's households that were under 100 percent of the Federal Poverty level in 1987. This is the most recent year for which this poverty measure is available on a municipal basis.

Housing Stock Composition: measures the percentage of the housing stock with rental tenancy according to the 2000 Census.

Population's Diversity: measured by the percent of the municipality's population which is minority according to the 2000 Census.

Municipalities which will score high according to this index are those in which:

- rents are relatively high compared to area income
- few households are below the poverty level
- little of the housing is held in rental tenancy
- few of the town's residents are members of the minority groups.

RENTAL REHABILITATION LOCATION INDEX

Municipalities are scored according to the following characteristics:

Municipality Rent/Income Adequacy: measured by determining what percentage of the municipality's average contract rent can be covered by 30 percent of 50 percent of the municipality's imputed median income for a family of two. Median incomes are adjusted 2000 HUD estimates and rents are the average contract rents measured by the 2000 Census.

Boarded/Vacant Units: measured by the percentage of the municipality's housing stock that is boarded/vacant according to the 2000 Census.

Poverty: measures the percentage of the town's households that were under 100 percent of the Federal Poverty level in 1987. This is the most recent year for which this poverty measure is available on a municipal basis.

Housing Overcrowding: as measured by the percentage of the housing units in the municipality that have more than 1.01 persons per room according to the 2000 Census.

Municipalities which will score high according to this index are those in which:

- rents are relatively high compared to imputed municipality income
- more of the housing units are boarded/vacant
- many households are below the poverty level
- more of the housing contains more than 1.01 persons per room.

HOMEOWNERSHIP NEED LOCATION INDEX

Price/Income Source: Median Home Value (Census 2000) in the community divided by the community's imputed median income (DECD adjusted HUD 2000 estimates). Ranked from largest to smallest.

Owner-Occupied Housing: percentage of non-seasonal housing units that are owner occupied (Census '2000). Ranked from smallest to largest.

Percentage Minority: that percentage of the municipality's population which are other than white, including Hispanic origin (Census '2000). Ranked from lowest to highest.

Boarded/Vacant Housing Units: percentage of the municipality's vacant housing units that are also boarded up (Census '2000). Ranked from highest to lowest.

Therefore, towns which score high on this index will generally be those with:

- high home prices compared to their resident's income
- a lower percentage of minority households and
- a low percentage of their vacant housing units being boarded up.

Homeownership Need-Location Index

By Total Score

Table 3

Rank #		Home Value/		Owner occupied/			Boarded/		Total Score	
		income	Rank	total units	Rank % Minority	Rank	vacant	Rank		
1	Bridgewater	3.49	147	81.3%	121	2.5%	149	63.91	129	546
2	Roxbury	4.05	160	72.8%	77	2.8%	133	22.32	167	537
3	Essex	3.52	149	74.8%	87	2.3%	156	65.37	126	518
4	Killingworth	2.95	106	91.9%	167	2.5%	151	95.48	92	516
5	Redding	3.78	155	85.2%	141	3.8%	99	76.79	114	509
6	Bethlehem	3.12	126	76.7%	96	2.5%	146	56.64	138	506
7	Southbury	3.38	141	82.9%	131	2.7%	138	101.76	84	494
8	Warren	3.24	135	66.5%	53	2.1%	161	49.70	144	493
9	Harwinton	2.41	27	90.1%	162	1.3%	169	75.31	115	473
10	Sherman	3.41	144	81.6%	124	2.6%	140	119.50	59	467
11	Thompson	2.59	58	75.2%	89	2.0%	164	48.36	147	458
12	Woodbury	3.44	145	72.0%	73	2.8%	134	82.56	106	458
13	Sharon	3.38	142	59.2%	31	3.1%	119	32.79	159	451
14	Litchfield	3.08	122	71.6%	72	3.0%	125	62.45	130	449
15	Middlefield	2.74	82	79.8%	116	2.2%	158	96.76	91	447
16	Easton	3.63	153	92.6%	168	3.3%	114	224.09	5	440
17	Westport	5.22	166	81.5%	123	4.8%	73	106.51	78	440
18	Middlebury	2.82	94	84.4%	136	2.9%	130	105.13	79	439
19	Weston	4.32	163	87.4%	153	4.3%	87	150.55	36	439
20	Wilton	3.97	159	87.5%	154	4.5%	82	137.74	44	439
21	Morris	3.10	125	60.5%	35	2.5%	145	60.80	131	436
22	Cornwall	3.60	151	56.5%	24	2.5%	147	76.88	113	435
23	Ridgefield	4.09	161	81.1%	120	3.9%	97	120.47	57	435
24	Goshen	3.27	137	63.0%	40	1.7%	165	96.91	90	432
25	Barkhamsted	2.44	34	80.2%	118	1.5%	167	78.47	112	431
26	Old Saybrook	3.08	124	65.2%	48	4.3%	88	21.79	169	429
27	Pomfret	2.73	81	69.3%	59	2.8%	132	42.15	154	426
28	Canaan	3.01	118	58.7%	28	3.0%	128	44.50	151	425
29	Darien	4.84	165	85.4%	143	4.0%	95	188.34	19	422
30	Washington	3.61	152	63.0%	39	4.3%	85	48.83	146	422
31	Fairfield	3.90	157	80.7%	119	4.7%	75	113.95	70	421
32	Salisbury	4.30	162	50.0%	11	4.2%	89	39.48	157	419
33	Old Lyme	3.58	150	54.5%	18	2.6%	141	79.95	109	418
34	Hartland	2.51	47	85.4%	142	1.7%	166	117.83	62	417

Homeownership Need-Location Index

By Total Score

Table 3

Rank #		Home Value/		Owner occupied/			Boarded/		Total Score	
		income	Rank	total units	Rank % Minority	Rank	vacant	Rank		
35	Stonington	3.21	132	63.1%	41	4.2%	92	44.05	152	417
36	Lyme	3.95	158	74.1%	83	2.0%	163	213.50	10	414
37	New Canaan	5.86	167	79.1%	109	4.7%	74	117.62	64	414
38	North Canaan	3.27	138	62.4%	38	3.1%	122	74.61	116	414
39	Kent	3.49	148	57.1%	25	4.2%	91	47.63	148	412
40	East Haddam	2.67	66	66.6%	55	2.7%	135	41.76	155	411
41	Eastford	2.44	32	70.1%	63	2.2%	159	41.20	156	410
42	Orange	3.21	133	90.4%	163	5.9%	60	131.64	52	408
43	Berlin	2.52	49	86.2%	148	3.0%	127	104.49	81	405
44	Bolton	2.58	55	82.7%	130	2.3%	157	119.13	60	402
45	Oxford	2.69	70	89.0%	158	2.3%	154	185.72	20	402
46	Franklin	2.29	15	86.8%	152	2.0%	162	114.50	69	398
47	Woodstock	2.44	33	75.4%	91	2.6%	142	59.87	132	398
48	Monroe	3.02	119	91.7%	166	4.1%	94	196.39	17	396
49	Thomaston	2.50	46	71.4%	69	2.1%	160	69.43	121	396
50	New Hartford	2.58	56	81.3%	122	2.3%	152	117.26	65	395
51	Trumbull	3.28	139	89.0%	160	6.0%	57	143.51	39	395
52	Deep River	3.14	128	71.5%	71	5.4%	66	65.14	127	392
53	Newtown	2.89	102	89.0%	159	4.9%	72	126.14	55	388
54	Canterbury	2.33	19	83.8%	134	2.7%	137	90.37	96	386
55	New Fairfield	2.72	73	84.2%	135	3.2%	117	118.92	61	386
56	Colebrook	2.90	104	74.2%	84	3.0%	126	113.20	71	385
57	Sterling	2.37	24	77.1%	99	3.8%	100	31.89	161	384
58	Union	2.43	30	70.6%	67	1.4%	168	71.25	118	383
59	Plymouth	2.31	17	75.3%	90	2.7%	139	57.83	135	381
60	Prospect	2.67	69	90.4%	164	3.7%	103	137.27	45	381
61	Scotland	2.34	21	83.7%	133	2.3%	153	110.60	73	380
62	Bethany	2.97	109	89.8%	161	5.0%	70	146.25	38	378
63	North Stonington	2.62	61	79.1%	110	5.7%	62	49.54	145	378
64	Norfolk	2.83	97	57.4%	27	2.9%	129	67.60	124	377
65	Chaplin	2.31	18	75.5%	92	2.7%	136	66.00	125	371
66	Lisbon	2.42	29	86.4%	150	3.3%	113	108.93	75	367
67	Canton	2.75	84	78.4%	103	2.9%	131	135.23	47	365
68	Durham	2.48	42	88.2%	157	3.3%	112	126.50	54	365

Homeownership Need-Location Index

By Total Score

Table 3

Rank #		Home Value/		Owner occupied/			Boarded/		Total Score	
		income	Rank	total units	Rank	% Minority	Rank	vacant		
69	Seymour	3.01	117	69.0%	58	5.3%	67	68.39	123	365
70	Stafford	2.43	31	70.5%	66	3.1%	121	50.03	143	361
71	Andover	2.27	11	83.5%	132	3.4%	110	82.14	107	360
72	Guilford	2.99	114	79.8%	114	4.0%	96	153.79	33	357
73	Beacon Falls	2.73	80	75.8%	94	3.0%	124	119.53	58	356
74	Greenwich	7.89	169	65.2%	47	10.0%	33	83.26	105	354
75	Sprague	3.08	123	63.1%	42	4.6%	79	79.36	110	354
76	Brookfield	3.01	116	85.8%	144	4.7%	76	199.00	15	351
77	Somers	2.93	105	84.8%	138	17.0%	19	97.50	89	351
78	Granby	2.18	2	86.3%	149	2.5%	150	135.04	48	349
79	Westbrook	3.38	140	55.1%	21	4.2%	90	89.83	97	348
80	Watertown	2.50	44	76.9%	98	3.5%	106	88.42	99	347
81	Burlington	2.42	28	92.9%	169	2.6%	144	284.00	2	343
82	Columbia	2.27	12	86.0%	146	2.6%	143	143.38	41	342
83	Madison	2.97	108	77.8%	101	3.4%	108	176.08	22	339
84	Marlborough	2.24	7	88.0%	156	2.5%	148	167.08	26	337
85	Bozrah	2.47	37	79.1%	108	3.6%	104	98.11	87	336
86	Waterford	2.73	78	79.8%	115	7.6%	42	87.70	101	336
87	North Branford	2.78	90	82.4%	129	3.5%	107	213.83	9	335
88	Shelton	3.23	134	78.9%	105	5.6%	65	157.67	31	335
89	Lebanon	2.27	13	76.7%	97	3.1%	120	87.36	102	332
90	Coventry	2.24	6	82.1%	128	3.1%	123	109.26	74	331
91	Hebron	2.25	8	85.8%	145	2.3%	155	176.06	23	331
92	Wolcott	2.34	20	86.0%	147	3.8%	101	117.70	63	331
93	New Milford	2.83	96	72.6%	75	5.7%	63	91.91	95	329
94	New Haven	3.69	154	26.3%	2	56.5%	3	22.18	168	327
95	Clinton	2.77	88	71.2%	68	4.2%	93	111.61	72	321
96	Hartford	3.78	156	21.8%	1	72.3%	1	30.67	163	321
97	Preston	2.54	53	80.0%	117	4.4%	84	114.81	67	321
98	Southington	2.72	72	78.9%	106	3.6%	105	147.87	37	320
99	Woodbridge	3.13	127	86.5%	151	8.7%	36	221.64	6	320
100	Derby	2.99	112	54.9%	20	9.9%	34	46.48	149	315
101	Voluntown	2.19	3	71.4%	70	3.4%	109	59.50	133	315
102	Ansonia	3.25	136	52.6%	14	14.5%	24	56.02	139	313

Homeownership Need-Location Index

By Total Score

Table 3

Rank #		Home Value/		Owner occupied/			Boarded/		Total Score	
		income	Rank	total units	Rank	% Minority	Rank	vacant		
103	Bridgeport	3.39	143	40.0%	5	55.0%	4	32.65	160	312
104	Torrington	2.81	92	59.0%	29	7.0%	47	52.28	142	310
105	Killingly	2.66	64	59.5%	32	6.3%	55	39.25	158	309
106	Wallingford	2.83	95	70.1%	64	5.2%	68	104.36	82	309
107	North Haven	2.72	74	84.8%	139	7.0%	46	134.33	49	308
108	Avon	2.77	89	82.0%	127	5.1%	69	176.91	21	306
109	Haddam	2.23	5	82.0%	126	3.1%	118	122.77	56	305
110	New London	3.19	131	33.4%	3	36.5%	5	25.26	166	305
111	Simsbury	2.76	87	81.8%	125	4.7%	77	198.30	16	305
112	Milford	2.76	86	73.5%	81	6.4%	54	103.98	83	304
113	Winchester	2.72	76	57.2%	26	5.6%	64	57.51	136	302
114	Hampton	2.38	25	85.2%	140	3.4%	111	168.50	24	300
115	Plainfield	2.49	43	65.8%	50	3.9%	98	80.06	108	299
116	Willington	2.73	79	63.2%	43	5.9%	59	73.53	117	298
117	Stratford	3.05	121	77.6%	100	15.2%	23	127.55	53	297
118	Griswold	2.45	35	65.4%	49	5.7%	61	45.59	150	295
119	Chester	2.81	93	69.6%	60	3.2%	116	167.78	25	294
120	Tolland	2.13	1	91.4%	165	3.2%	115	208.45	12	293
121	Groton	3.04	120	46.5%	8	16.4%	21	53.00	141	290
122	Norwich	2.83	98	47.7%	9	16.9%	20	31.57	162	289
123	Waterbury	2.95	107	43.3%	6	32.9%	8	28.57	165	286
124	Putnam	2.51	48	52.1%	13	4.7%	78	55.80	140	279
125	East Windsor	2.67	68	61.0%	36	8.5%	37	56.64	137	278
126	Meriden	2.75	85	55.8%	23	19.8%	17	42.42	153	278
127	Vernon	2.87	101	53.9%	16	10.0%	32	64.92	128	277
128	Branford	3.18	129	64.5%	46	6.0%	58	140.93	43	276
129	New Britain	2.83	99	39.1%	4	30.6%	9	29.35	164	276
130	Plainville	2.66	65	66.6%	54	6.5%	53	85.87	104	276
131	Danbury	3.48	146	55.6%	22	24.0%	14	92.77	93	275
132	Farmington	2.90	103	72.6%	76	7.1%	45	133.75	50	274
133	Stamford	5.98	168	54.4%	17	30.2%	10	108.87	76	271
134	Wethersfield	2.99	111	76.3%	95	6.8%	50	203.89	14	270
135	Windham	3.00	115	45.2%	7	26.0%	12	59.16	134	268
136	Ashford	2.48	40	68.0%	56	4.3%	86	98.63	85	267

Homeownership Need-Location Index

By Total Score

Table 3

Rank #		Home Value/		Owner occupied/			Boarded/		Total Score	
		income	Rank	total units	Rank	% Minority	Rank	vacant		
137	Bethel	3.18	130	74.9%	88	7.6%	41	216.83	7	266
138	Bristol	2.73	77	59.0%	30	8.4%	39	70.30	120	266
139	Brooklyn	2.55	54	68.9%	57	6.8%	51	87.28	103	265
140	Naugatuck	2.60	59	63.7%	44	8.2%	40	70.41	119	262
141	Glastonbury	2.71	71	79.4%	112	6.9%	49	163.43	28	260
142	Ellington	2.53	51	65.9%	51	3.8%	102	133.21	51	255
143	Ledyard	2.30	16	79.4%	111	11.8%	28	92.68	94	249
144	Norwalk	4.51	164	60.1%	34	26.1%	11	143.47	40	249
145	Middletown	2.98	110	48.3%	10	20.0%	16	79.29	111	247
146	Cheshire	2.63	62	84.8%	137	10.6%	30	207.31	13	242
147	East Hampton	2.25	9	75.6%	93	6.6%	52	98.24	86	240
148	Colchester	2.48	39	74.5%	85	4.5%	81	163.28	29	234
149	East Granby	2.46	36	79.6%	113	4.4%	83	369.60	1	233
150	Portland	2.40	26	74.6%	86	4.9%	71	135.52	46	229
151	Montville	2.28	14	73.1%	80	14.0%	26	88.03	100	220
152	Suffield	2.59	57	78.9%	104	11.3%	29	166.43	27	217
153	East Haven	2.65	63	69.8%	62	6.1%	56	153.68	34	215
154	West Haven	2.80	91	52.1%	12	25.9%	13	89.36	98	214
155	Windsor	2.22	4	73.0%	79	34.9%	7	68.54	122	212
156	Newington	2.54	52	79.0%	107	7.5%	44	214.54	8	211
157	Salem	2.61	60	70.3%	65	4.5%	80	271.60	3	208
158	Hamden	2.67	67	64.2%	45	22.7%	15	104.71	80	207
159	South Windsor	2.26	10	87.6%	155	8.5%	38	234.42	4	207
160	Windsor Locks	2.48	41	78.0%	102	7.5%	43	188.88	18	204
161	Mansfield	2.99	113	59.7%	33	16.1%	22	155.62	32	200
162	Enfield	2.36	22	72.8%	78	10.3%	31	116.44	66	197
163	Rocky Hill	2.75	83	62.2%	37	9.8%	35	142.53	42	197
164	West Hartford	2.86	100	69.7%	61	14.0%	25	210.05	11	197
165	East Lyme	2.47	38	66.4%	52	12.7%	27	108.76	77	194
166	Bloomfield	2.50	45	72.2%	74	60.0%	2	114.52	68	189
167	East Hartford	2.72	75	54.7%	19	35.3%	6	98.09	88	188
168	Cromwell	2.37	23	74.0%	82	6.9%	48	157.94	30	183
169	Manchester	2.53	50	53.8%	15	17.2%	18	153.62	35	118

Homeownership Need-Location Index

By Total Score

Table 3

Rank #	Home Value/ income		Owner occupied/ total units		Boarded/ vacant		Total Score
	Rank		Rank	% Minority	Rank		

Source: Census 2000

Prepared by CHFA Planning Department

9/28/04

Rental Housing Construction Location index By Total Score

Table 1

Rank#	Towns	Rent/ income	Rank	Poverty rate	Rank	Rental/ hsg units	Rank	% Minority	Rank	Total Score
1	Killingworth	0.50	168	0.7%	169	2.7%	169	2.5%	151	657
2	Burlington	0.80	133	1.1%	166	4.9%	168	2.6%	144	611
3	Easton	0.39	169	2.4%	137	5.6%	167	3.3%	114	587
4	Harwinton	0.89	98	2.2%	145	6.5%	162	1.3%	169	574
5	Warren	0.64	163	3.3%	95	7.8%	151	2.1%	161	570
6	Lyme	0.86	105	1.2%	165	10.2%	132	2.0%	163	565
7	Redding	0.64	164	1.8%	153	8.0%	148	3.8%	99	564
8	Colebrook	0.65	162	2.6%	126	8.2%	146	3.0%	126	560
9	Franklin	0.84	113	2.5%	136	8.0%	147	2.0%	162	558
10	Madison	0.73	153	1.3%	164	10.4%	130	3.4%	108	555
11	Goshen	0.78	139	3.3%	96	7.6%	154	1.7%	165	554
12	Bethlehem	0.62	166	2.6%	125	13.0%	110	2.5%	146	547
13	New Fairfield	0.87	102	1.6%	157	5.7%	166	3.2%	117	542
14	New Hartford	0.86	108	1.6%	159	11.9%	119	2.3%	152	538
15	Union	0.79	138	3.5%	92	9.7%	137	1.4%	168	535
16	Hebron	0.91	81	1.4%	162	9.8%	135	2.3%	155	533
17	Prospect	0.86	106	1.0%	168	7.1%	156	3.7%	103	533
18	Old Lyme	0.68	159	3.4%	94	9.7%	136	2.6%	141	530
19	Hartland	0.97	53	2.1%	149	6.9%	160	1.7%	166	528
20	Monroe	0.77	146	2.6%	121	6.2%	164	4.1%	94	525
21	Granby	0.80	134	3.1%	107	11.0%	125	2.5%	150	516
22	Sherman	0.84	112	3.1%	105	7.2%	155	2.6%	140	512
23	Tolland	0.90	91	2.2%	143	6.3%	163	3.2%	115	512
24	Trumbull	0.62	165	2.2%	142	8.9%	142	6.0%	57	506
25	Orange	0.72	156	2.5%	130	6.9%	159	5.9%	60	505
26	Salem	0.81	126	1.0%	167	10.7%	127	4.5%	80	500
27	Durham	0.91	82	1.7%	155	7.9%	150	3.3%	112	499
28	Southbury	0.57	167	4.7%	53	9.3%	139	2.7%	138	497
29	Avon	0.68	158	1.7%	156	13.5%	106	5.1%	69	489
30	Middlefield	0.93	71	1.5%	160	14.9%	100	2.2%	158	489
31	Darien	0.83	122	2.0%	151	11.6%	120	4.0%	95	488

**Rental Housing Construction Location index
By Total Score**

Table 1

Rank#	Towns	Rent/ income	Rank	Poverty rate	Rank	Rental/ hsg units	Rank	% Minority	Rank	Total Score
32	Columbia	0.83	120	4.2%	66	6.9%	157	2.6%	143	486
33	Ridgefield	0.77	144	2.3%	138	13.9%	104	3.9%	97	483
34	Bethany	0.80	130	2.6%	128	7.6%	153	5.0%	70	481
35	Weston	0.92	75	1.9%	152	5.9%	165	4.3%	87	479
36	Woodbridge	0.66	161	2.3%	140	9.2%	140	8.7%	36	477
37	Oxford	1.05	25	2.1%	148	7.9%	149	2.3%	154	476
38	Scotland	0.83	123	4.7%	56	9.2%	141	2.3%	153	473
39	Wolcott	0.83	121	2.6%	127	11.5%	121	3.8%	101	470
40	Marlborough	1.02	35	2.1%	147	9.4%	138	2.5%	148	468
41	North Branford	0.72	155	1.6%	158	29.8%	33	3.1%	122	468
42	Guilford	0.75	151	3.0%	111	13.3%	108	4.0%	96	466
43	South Windsor	0.77	145	1.8%	154	10.5%	128	8.5%	38	465
44	Middlebury	0.91	84	2.7%	118	10.3%	131	2.9%	130	463
45	Barkhamsted	0.93	70	3.0%	108	12.2%	117	1.5%	167	462
46	Cornwall	0.93	69	3.0%	112	10.0%	134	2.5%	147	462
47	Berlin	0.92	77	2.5%	134	11.2%	122	3.0%	127	460
48	Lebanon	0.96	58	2.3%	139	8.8%	143	3.1%	120	460
49	Haddam	0.79	137	3.5%	89	12.9%	112	3.1%	118	456
50	Wilton	0.85	109	2.9%	114	8.8%	144	4.5%	82	449
51	Simsbury	0.81	129	2.2%	146	15.7%	95	4.7%	77	447
52	Chester	0.83	118	1.3%	163	24.1%	49	3.2%	116	446
53	Fairfield	0.67	160	2.7%	120	16.2%	90	4.7%	75	445
54	New Canaan	0.77	147	2.5%	131	16.1%	91	4.7%	74	443
55	Bolton	0.96	56	2.6%	124	14.2%	103	2.3%	157	440
56	Lisbon	0.89	97	3.1%	104	11.1%	124	3.3%	113	438
57	North Haven	0.79	136	3.5%	91	8.3%	145	5.7%	62	434
58	Westport	0.81	127	2.6%	129	13.5%	105	4.8%	73	434
59	East Haddam	0.96	57	2.9%	113	11.2%	123	2.7%	135	428
60	Kent	0.73	154	3.1%	106	19.3%	69	4.2%	91	420
61	Brookfield	0.92	72	2.3%	141	10.4%	129	4.7%	76	418
62	East Granby	0.90	88	1.5%	161	17.2%	85	4.4%	83	417

**Rental Housing Construction Location index
By Total Score**

Table 1

Rank#	Towns	Rent/ income	Rank	Poverty rate	Rank	Rental/ hsg units	Rank	% Minority	Rank	Total Score
63	Essex	0.92	76	2.8%	116	19.7%	68	2.3%	156	416
64	Old Saybrook	0.68	157	4.5%	57	12.9%	111	4.3%	88	413
65	Woodstock	0.90	94	4.2%	63	13.4%	107	2.6%	142	406
66	Roxbury	0.99	40	3.9%	78	7.8%	152	2.8%	133	403
67	Coventry	0.91	83	3.7%	82	12.4%	114	3.1%	123	402
68	Cheshire	0.80	135	2.6%	123	12.7%	113	10.6%	30	401
69	Glastonbury	0.84	115	2.1%	150	17.7%	83	6.9%	49	397
70	Canton	0.93	68	2.7%	119	18.0%	78	2.9%	131	396
71	Bridgewater	1.19	5	4.1%	71	6.8%	161	2.5%	149	386
72	Sharon	0.78	141	7.0%	27	15.0%	99	3.1%	119	386
73	Woodbury	0.78	142	4.5%	58	23.8%	50	2.8%	134	384
74	Eastford	0.87	101	5.9%	35	16.9%	88	2.2%	159	383
75	No. Stonington	0.84	110	4.7%	54	17.0%	87	2.9%	129	380
76	Watertown	0.94	63	2.2%	144	20.1%	67	3.5%	106	380
77	Morris	0.89	96	6.3%	33	15.2%	96	2.5%	145	370
78	Preston	0.90	89	3.3%	97	15.1%	98	4.4%	84	368
79	East Lyme	0.81	128	2.5%	132	18.0%	79	12.7%	27	366
80	Andover	1.19	4	2.5%	135	12.2%	116	3.4%	110	365
81	Norfolk	0.91	87	4.0%	73	15.2%	97	3.5%	107	364
82	Clinton	0.82	124	4.2%	65	17.9%	81	4.2%	93	363
83	Bozrah	0.91	78	3.6%	85	15.8%	94	3.6%	104	361
84	Hampton	1.06	21	3.5%	90	10.1%	133	3.4%	111	355
85	Waterford	0.77	143	4.1%	69	14.6%	101	7.6%	42	355
86	Chaplin	1.04	30	2.8%	115	19.1%	71	2.7%	136	352
87	Newtown	1.19	6	3.0%	109	6.9%	158	4.9%	72	345
88	Beacon Falls	0.83	119	5.9%	36	20.4%	65	3.0%	124	344
89	Canaan	1.02	34	4.7%	55	11.0%	126	3.0%	128	343
90	Ledyard	0.74	152	4.0%	74	16.5%	89	11.8%	28	343
91	Southington	0.94	60	3.2%	100	18.1%	77	3.6%	105	342
92	Thomaston	0.94	67	4.1%	67	25.2%	46	2.1%	160	340
93	Litchfield	0.95	59	4.0%	77	18.4%	76	3.0%	125	337

Rental Housing Construction Location index By Total Score

Table 1

Rank#	Towns	Rent/ income	Rank	Poverty rate	Rank	Rental/ hsg units	Rank	% Minority	Rank	Total Score
94	East Hampton	0.90	93	3.0%	110	18.0%	80	6.6%	52	335
95	Shelton	0.91	79	3.2%	103	17.5%	84	5.6%	65	331
96	Cromwell	0.81	125	3.2%	99	23.1%	57	6.9%	48	329
97	Colchester	0.94	62	2.6%	122	21.2%	62	4.5%	81	327
98	Newington	0.83	116	3.4%	93	18.9%	73	7.5%	44	326
99	Rocky Hill	0.76	148	2.7%	117	32.8%	25	9.8%	35	325
100	Canterbury	1.12	13	4.5%	59	12.2%	115	2.7%	137	324
101	Washington	1.01	37	3.2%	101	15.8%	93	4.3%	85	316
102	Branford	0.76	149	4.1%	70	29.4%	35	6.0%	58	312
103	Milford	0.84	114	3.7%	81	21.6%	60	6.4%	54	309
104	Farmington	0.75	150	4.4%	60	23.7%	53	7.1%	45	308
105	Ellington	0.91	80	3.6%	84	28.6%	38	3.8%	102	304
106	Suffield	0.91	86	3.2%	102	17.1%	86	11.3%	29	303
107	Voluntown	1.01	36	4.8%	52	14.5%	102	3.4%	109	299
108	Thompson	1.12	11	5.4%	41	17.9%	82	2.0%	164	298
109	Plymouth	1.06	19	4.0%	72	20.3%	66	2.7%	139	296
110	Salisbury	0.84	111	7.5%	20	18.6%	75	4.2%	89	295
111	Pomfret	0.98	49	4.1%	68	25.5%	45	2.8%	132	294
112	Wallingford	0.90	92	3.6%	87	26.2%	42	5.2%	68	289
113	Windsor	0.83	117	3.6%	86	18.7%	74	34.9%	7	284
114	Somers	0.97	54	3.6%	88	12.0%	118	17.0%	19	279
115	Greenwich	0.80	131	4.0%	75	29.3%	36	10.0%	33	275
116	Montville	0.86	107	3.8%	79	20.8%	63	14.0%	26	275
117	Stonington	0.91	85	4.9%	51	25.9%	44	4.2%	92	272
118	Bethel	1.00	39	2.5%	133	22.9%	58	7.6%	41	271
119	Wethersfield	0.90	95	4.4%	61	21.7%	59	6.8%	50	265
120	Deep River	0.88	99	5.1%	47	23.8%	51	5.4%	66	263
121	Enfield	0.90	90	3.6%	83	23.3%	56	10.3%	31	260
122	East Haven	0.88	100	5.2%	46	26.1%	43	6.1%	56	245
123	North Canaan	0.98	48	5.6%	40	13.2%	109	7.0%	46	243
124	Westbrook	1.01	38	5.2%	45	19.1%	70	4.2%	90	243

Rental Housing Construction Location index By Total Score

Table 1

Rank#	Towns	Rent/ income	Rank	Poverty rate	Rank	Rental/ hsg units	Rank	% Minority	Rank	Total Score
125	Stafford	1.05	23	5.4%	42	23.4%	55	3.1%	121	241
126	Sterling	1.12	12	6.0%	34	16.0%	92	3.8%	100	238
127	New Milford	1.12	10	3.3%	98	20.7%	64	5.7%	63	235
128	West Hartford	0.86	103	4.2%	64	27.3%	40	14.0%	25	232
129	Windsor Locks	0.94	65	4.3%	62	23.6%	54	7.5%	43	224
130	Ashford	0.99	45	5.9%	37	24.2%	48	4.3%	86	216
131	Bloomfield	0.78	140	7.4%	22	23.7%	52	60.0%	2	216
132	East Windsor	0.92	73	4.0%	76	32.2%	26	8.5%	37	212
133	Portland	1.03	32	5.1%	48	21.4%	61	4.9%	71	212
134	Plainfield	0.98	50	6.9%	28	29.7%	34	3.9%	98	210
135	Seymour	1.06	20	3.7%	80	27.7%	39	5.3%	67	206
136	Sprague	0.94	66	6.4%	31	31.3%	30	4.6%	79	206
137	Stratford	0.94	61	4.9%	50	19.0%	72	15.2%	23	206
138	Hamden	0.80	132	7.3%	23	31.2%	32	22.7%	15	202
139	Griswold	0.99	43	5.2%	44	26.6%	41	5.7%	61	189
140	Plainville	1.05	24	5.0%	49	29.2%	37	6.5%	53	163
141	Naugatuck	0.96	55	6.4%	32	32.0%	29	8.2%	40	156
142	Winchester	1.04	29	6.6%	29	31.2%	31	5.6%	64	153
143	Manchester	0.86	104	7.8%	17	41.8%	13	17.2%	18	152
144	Brooklyn	1.14	8	5.3%	43	24.3%	47	6.8%	51	149
145	Torrington	0.99	44	7.2%	25	32.2%	27	7.0%	47	143
146	Willington	0.98	46	13.2%	8	33.7%	24	5.9%	59	137
147	Groton	0.94	64	5.7%	39	45.3%	9	16.4%	21	133
148	Vernon	0.99	41	5.8%	38	41.4%	15	10.0%	32	126
149	Putnam	1.21	3	7.5%	21	40.2%	16	4.7%	78	118
150	Killingly	1.07	17	8.5%	14	32.0%	28	6.3%	55	114
151	West Haven	0.92	74	8.5%	13	42.3%	11	25.9%	13	111
152	Bristol	1.09	14	6.5%	30	36.2%	22	8.4%	39	105
153	Middletown	0.98	51	7.3%	24	45.7%	8	20.0%	16	99
154	Derby	1.04	27	8.2%	15	39.4%	19	9.9%	34	95
155	Mansfield	1.04	31	8.7%	12	35.8%	23	16.1%	22	88

**Rental Housing Construction Location index
By Total Score**

Table 1

Rank#	Towns	Rent/ income	Rank	Poverty rate	Rank	Rental/ hsg units	Rank	% Minority	Rank	Total Score
156	Ansonia	1.04	28	7.5%	19	41.9%	12	14.5%	24	83
157	Norwich	0.99	42	11.3%	9	43.2%	10	16.9%	20	81
158	Meriden	1.03	33	10.8%	10	37.3%	20	19.8%	17	80
159	Danbury	1.07	18	7.6%	18	39.8%	18	24.0%	14	68
160	New London	0.98	47	14.2%	7	54.6%	3	36.5%	5	62
161	Stamford	1.05	22	7.9%	16	41.5%	14	30.2%	10	62
162	East Hartford	1.04	26	10.2%	11	40.0%	17	35.3%	6	60
163	Norwalk	1.21	2	7.2%	26	36.8%	21	26.1%	11	60
164	New Haven	0.98	52	22.3%	2	62.6%	2	56.5%	3	59
165	Waterbury	1.08	15	15.6%	6	47.5%	7	32.9%	8	36
166	Bridgeport	1.07	16	17.9%	3	52.4%	5	55.0%	4	28
167	New Britain	1.13	9	15.8%	5	52.4%	4	30.6%	9	27
168	Windham	1.21	1	15.8%	4	47.7%	6	26.0%	12	23
169	Hartford	1.16	7	29.4%	1	66.9%	1	72.3%	1	10

Source: Census 2000

Prepared by CHFA Planning Department

9/28/04

Rental Rehabilitation Location index

By Index

Table 2

Rank#	Towns	Rent/ income	Rank	Boarded/ vacant	Rank	Poverty rate	Rank	% of Crowding	Rank	Total Score
1	New Haven	0.98	52	10.36	168	22.3%	168	6.01%	165	553
2	West Haven	0.92	74	4.99	150	8.5%	157	4.25%	160	541
3	New London	0.98	47	9.88	167	14.2%	163	4.20%	159	536
4	Hamden	0.80	132	3.44	119	7.3%	147	1.73%	134	532
5	Manchester	0.86	104	3.46	120	7.8%	153	2.51%	150	527
6	Eastford	0.87	101	4.40	140	5.9%	135	2.27%	148	524
7	Norwich	0.99	42	7.64	164	11.3%	161	2.58%	151	518
8	Bloomfield	0.78	140	2.66	78	7.4%	148	2.38%	149	515
9	Bridgeport	1.07	16	7.04	163	17.9%	167	7.91%	168	514
10	Hartford	1.16	7	10.83	169	29.4%	169	10.33%	169	514
11	Meriden	1.03	33	6.46	162	10.8%	160	3.13%	157	512
12	Waterbury	1.08	15	8.59	166	15.6%	164	4.35%	161	506
13	New Britain	1.13	9	8.02	165	15.8%	165	5.30%	163	502
14	Groton	0.94	64	4.55	145	5.7%	131	2.71%	154	494
15	Windham	1.21	1	6.03	159	15.8%	166	5.81%	164	490
16	East Hartford	1.04	26	4.56	146	10.2%	159	3.94%	158	489
17	Middletown	0.98	51	4.99	149	7.3%	146	1.79%	136	482
18	Sprague	0.94	66	3.95	132	6.4%	139	1.98%	141	478
19	Plainfield	0.98	50	3.74	130	6.9%	142	2.79%	155	477
20	Ansonia	1.04	28	5.05	152	7.5%	151	2.05%	144	475
21	Montville	0.86	107	3.53	123	3.8%	91	2.61%	153	474
22	Naugatuck	0.96	55	3.86	131	6.4%	138	2.11%	146	470
23	Woodstock	0.90	94	3.68	129	4.2%	107	1.92%	139	469
24	Greenwich	0.80	131	3.10	102	4.0%	95	1.91%	138	466
25	Old Saybrook	0.68	157	4.24	136	4.5%	113	0.74%	59	465
26	Torrington	0.99	44	5.95	158	7.2%	145	1.42%	116	463
27	Winchester	1.04	29	4.63	147	6.6%	141	2.08%	145	462
28	Southbury	0.57	167	3.15	105	4.7%	117	0.87%	71	460
29	North Canaan	0.98	48	3.53	124	5.6%	130	2.83%	156	458
30	Bethlehem	0.62	166	3.96	133	2.6%	45	1.28%	109	453
31	Beacon Falls	0.83	119	3.04	98	5.9%	134	1.18%	101	452

Rental Rehabilitation Location index

By Index

Table 2

Rank#	Towns	Rent/ income	Rank	Boarded/ vacant	Rank	Poverty rate	Rank	% of Crowding	Rank	Total Score
32	East Windsor	0.92	73	6.38	160	4.0%	94	1.54%	123	450
33	Union	0.79	138	4.41	141	3.5%	78	1.05%	85	442
34	Rocky Hill	0.76	148	4.16	135	2.7%	53	1.23%	103	439
35	Stamford	1.05	22	2.89	95	7.9%	154	7.10%	166	437
36	Scotland	0.83	123	2.25	52	4.7%	114	2.17%	147	436
37	Danbury	1.07	18	3.02	97	7.6%	152	7.20%	167	434
38	Derby	1.04	27	5.08	154	8.2%	155	1.12%	95	431
39	Vernon	0.99	41	4.35	138	5.8%	132	1.47%	117	428
40	Waterford	0.77	143	3.33	112	4.1%	101	0.86%	70	426
41	Griswold	0.99	43	4.33	137	5.2%	126	1.48%	118	424
42	Sharon	0.78	141	3.40	117	7.0%	143	0.40%	23	424
43	East Haven	0.88	100	2.78	89	5.2%	124	1.27%	108	421
44	Bristol	1.09	14	4.39	139	6.5%	140	1.49%	119	412
45	Killingly	1.07	17	5.37	156	8.5%	156	1.02%	82	411
46	Willington	0.98	46	2.22	50	13.2%	162	2.59%	152	410
47	Windsor Locks	0.94	65	3.16	106	4.3%	108	1.64%	131	410
48	Farmington	0.75	150	2.84	93	4.4%	110	0.71%	56	409
49	Enfield	0.90	90	3.27	110	3.6%	87	1.52%	120	407
50	Morris	0.89	96	4.49	143	6.3%	137	0.44%	31	407
51	Kent	0.73	154	4.72	148	3.1%	64	0.52%	40	406
52	Woodbury	0.78	142	3.15	104	4.5%	112	0.62%	48	406
53	Salisbury	0.84	111	3.32	111	7.5%	150	0.46%	33	405
54	Milford	0.84	114	2.87	94	3.7%	89	1.24%	105	402
55	Branford	0.76	149	2.78	90	4.1%	100	0.74%	60	399
56	Old Lyme	0.68	159	3.59	125	3.4%	76	0.51%	39	399
57	Clinton	0.82	124	2.76	88	4.2%	105	1.01%	81	398
58	West Hartford	0.86	103	2.29	54	4.2%	106	1.75%	135	398
59	Colebrook	0.65	162	2.74	87	2.6%	44	1.24%	104	397
60	Sterling	1.12	12	5.45	157	6.0%	136	1.08%	91	396
61	Wallingford	0.90	92	3.06	100	3.6%	83	1.53%	121	396
62	Stratford	0.94	61	2.74	86	4.9%	120	1.62%	126	393

Rental Rehabilitation Location index

By Index

Table 2

Rank#	Towns	Rent/ income	Rank	Boarded/ vacant	Rank	Poverty rate	Rank	% of Crowding	Rank	Total Score
63	Stonington	0.91	85	4.45	142	4.9%	119	0.57%	44	390
64	Stafford	1.05	23	4.49	144	5.4%	128	1.08%	92	387
65	Mansfield	1.04	31	2.26	53	8.7%	158	2.04%	143	385
66	Essex	0.92	76	3.49	122	2.8%	54	1.64%	130	382
67	Goshen	0.78	139	2.56	69	3.3%	74	1.13%	96	378
68	No. Stonington	0.84	110	2.58	73	4.7%	116	0.98%	79	378
69	Deep River	0.88	99	2.46	62	5.1%	123	1.10%	93	377
70	Ledyard	0.74	152	1.82	30	4.0%	96	1.15%	98	376
71	Canaan	1.02	34	5.08	153	4.7%	115	0.90%	73	375
72	Barkhamsted	0.93	70	3.41	118	3.0%	62	1.57%	124	374
73	Coventry	0.91	83	2.79	91	3.7%	88	1.34%	111	373
74	Windsor	0.83	117	2.20	47	3.6%	84	1.59%	125	373
75	East Lyme	0.81	128	3.67	128	2.5%	38	0.94%	76	370
76	Thompson	1.12	11	3.64	127	5.4%	129	1.21%	102	369
77	New Canaan	0.77	147	3.21	108	2.5%	39	0.91%	74	368
78	Plainville	1.05	24	3.19	107	5.0%	121	1.37%	115	367
79	Norwalk	1.21	2	2.34	58	7.2%	144	5.14%	162	366
80	Westbrook	1.01	38	3.27	109	5.2%	125	1.11%	94	366
81	East Haddam	0.96	57	3.36	114	2.9%	57	1.89%	137	365
82	Ashford	0.99	45	3.35	113	5.9%	133	0.89%	72	363
83	Wethersfield	0.90	95	1.79	29	4.4%	109	1.53%	122	355
84	Ellington	0.91	80	2.71	83	3.6%	86	1.15%	99	348
85	Warren	0.64	163	2.15	45	3.3%	75	0.80%	64	347
86	Cromwell	0.81	125	2.70	82	3.2%	71	0.79%	63	341
87	Plymouth	1.06	19	4.15	134	4.0%	98	1.06%	86	337
88	Pomfret	0.98	49	2.20	46	4.1%	102	1.95%	140	337
89	Thomaston	0.94	67	3.05	99	4.1%	103	0.82%	67	336
90	Voluntown	1.01	36	1.92	38	4.8%	118	1.68%	132	324
91	Litchfield	0.95	59	3.39	116	4.0%	93	0.66%	53	321
92	Putnam	1.21	3	6.45	161	7.5%	149	0.24%	8	321
93	Woodbridge	0.66	161	2.01	41	2.3%	30	1.06%	89	321

Rental Rehabilitation Location index

By Index

Table 2

Rank#	Towns	Rent/ income	Rank	Boarded/ vacant	Rank	Poverty rate	Rank	% of Crowding	Rank	Total Score
94	Franklin	0.84	113	3.38	115	2.5%	34	0.73%	58	320
95	Haddam	0.79	137	2.73	84	3.5%	81	0.30%	14	316
96	Newington	0.83	116	1.86	36	3.4%	77	1.06%	87	316
97	North Haven	0.79	136	1.65	17	3.5%	79	0.97%	78	310
98	Redding	0.64	164	2.53	65	1.8%	17	0.75%	62	308
99	Ridgefield	0.77	144	2.90	96	2.3%	32	0.50%	36	308
100	Washington	1.01	37	2.61	75	3.2%	69	1.62%	127	308
101	Brooklyn	1.14	8	5.02	151	5.3%	127	0.32%	16	302
102	Shelton	0.91	79	2.64	76	3.2%	67	1.01%	80	302
103	Fairfield	0.67	160	1.77	25	2.7%	50	0.81%	65	300
104	Monroe	0.77	146	1.29	8	2.6%	49	1.13%	97	300
105	East Hampton	0.90	93	3.49	121	3.0%	60	0.41%	25	299
106	Bozrah	0.91	78	0.00	1	3.6%	85	1.70%	133	297
107	Guilford	0.75	151	2.01	40	3.0%	59	0.60%	46	296
108	Chester	0.83	118	2.67	79	1.3%	7	1.06%	88	292
109	Roxbury	0.99	40	5.11	155	3.9%	92	0.00%	5	292
110	Bethany	0.80	130	2.06	42	2.6%	42	0.91%	75	289
111	Watertown	0.94	63	2.42	60	2.2%	26	1.63%	128	277
112	Orange	0.72	156	2.57	70	2.5%	40	0.11%	7	273
113	Granby	0.80	134	2.32	56	3.1%	63	0.34%	18	271
114	Madison	0.73	153	2.60	74	1.3%	6	0.51%	38	271
115	Middlebury	0.91	84	3.63	126	2.7%	52	0.25%	9	271
116	Norfolk	0.91	87	2.30	55	4.0%	97	0.44%	32	271
117	Somers	0.97	54	1.69	21	3.6%	82	1.37%	114	271
118	Columbia	0.83	120	1.51	15	4.2%	104	0.43%	30	269
119	Colchester	0.94	62	2.70	81	2.6%	48	0.94%	77	268
120	Suffield	0.91	86	3.13	103	3.2%	68	0.26%	10	267
121	Westport	0.81	127	2.32	57	2.6%	41	0.49%	34	259
122	Canterbury	1.12	13	2.55	68	4.5%	111	0.82%	66	258
123	Prospect	0.86	106	1.91	37	1.0%	2	1.36%	113	258
124	Lyme	0.86	105	2.83	92	1.2%	5	0.70%	55	257

Rental Rehabilitation Location index

By Index

Table 2

Rank#	Towns	Rent/ income	Rank	Boarded/ vacant	Rank	Poverty rate	Rank	% of Crowding	Rank	Total Score
125	Avon	0.68	158	2.58	72	1.7%	14	0.27%	12	256
126	Chaplin	1.04	30	1.78	26	2.8%	55	1.98%	142	253
127	Hampton	1.06	21	1.73	23	3.5%	80	1.63%	129	253
128	Southington	0.94	60	2.57	71	3.2%	70	0.64%	51	252
129	New Hartford	0.86	108	1.69	20	1.6%	11	1.35%	112	251
130	New Milford	1.12	10	2.55	67	3.3%	72	1.18%	100	249
131	Cornwall	0.93	69	3.09	101	3.0%	58	0.33%	17	245
132	Preston	0.90	89	1.79	28	3.3%	73	0.65%	52	242
133	Salem	0.81	126	1.21	5	1.0%	3	1.25%	106	240
134	South Windsor	0.77	145	1.39	11	1.8%	16	0.85%	68	240
135	Cheshire	0.80	135	1.85	35	2.6%	47	0.40%	22	239
136	Glastonbury	0.84	115	2.38	59	2.1%	20	0.60%	45	239
137	Trumbull	0.62	165	1.02	4	2.2%	28	0.54%	42	239
138	Wolcott	0.83	121	1.79	27	2.6%	43	0.61%	47	238
139	Seymour	1.06	20	2.74	85	3.7%	90	0.54%	41	236
140	Berlin	0.92	77	1.84	31	2.5%	36	1.07%	90	234
141	Sherman	0.84	112	1.43	12	3.1%	65	0.56%	43	232
142	Killingworth	0.50	168	1.27	7	0.7%	1	0.68%	54	230
143	East Granby	0.90	88	2.21	48	1.5%	9	1.03%	83	228
144	Easton	0.39	169	1.47	13	2.4%	33	0.28%	13	228
145	Lisbon	0.89	97	2.43	61	3.1%	66	0.00%	4	228
146	North Branford	0.72	155	1.98	39	1.6%	12	0.35%	19	225
147	Bethel	1.00	39	1.85	34	2.5%	37	1.26%	107	217
148	Burlington	0.80	133	2.10	43	1.1%	4	0.49%	35	215
149	Canton	0.93	68	2.52	64	2.7%	51	0.43%	29	212
150	Portland	1.03	32	1.84	32	5.1%	122	0.41%	26	212
151	Darien	0.83	122	2.55	66	2.0%	19	0.00%	3	210
152	Harwinton	0.89	98	2.47	63	2.2%	25	0.41%	24	210
153	Wilton	0.85	109	1.68	19	2.9%	56	0.30%	15	199
154	New Fairfield	0.87	102	1.73	24	1.6%	13	0.71%	57	196
155	Durham	0.91	82	2.64	77	1.7%	15	0.40%	21	195

Rental Rehabilitation Location index

By Index

Table 2

Rank#	Towns	Rent/ income	Rank	Boarded/ vacant	Rank	Poverty rate	Rank	% of Crowding	Rank	Total Score
156	Simsbury	0.81	129	1.69	22	2.2%	24	0.36%	20	195
157	Bridgewater	1.19	5	2.70	80	4.1%	99	0.00%	2	186
158	Middlefield	0.93	71	1.38	10	1.5%	10	1.03%	84	175
159	Brookfield	0.92	72	1.56	16	2.3%	29	0.63%	49	166
160	Lebanon	0.96	58	0.82	2	2.3%	31	0.86%	69	160
161	Oxford	1.05	25	0.85	3	2.1%	22	1.32%	110	160
162	Tolland	0.90	91	1.35	9	2.2%	27	0.41%	27	154
163	Newtown	1.19	6	2.21	49	3.0%	61	0.50%	37	153
164	Weston	0.92	75	2.24	51	1.9%	18	0.00%	6	150
165	Bolton	0.96	56	2.13	44	2.6%	46	0.00%	1	147
166	Hebron	0.91	81	1.22	6	1.4%	8	0.63%	50	145
167	Marlborough	1.02	35	1.65	18	2.1%	23	0.75%	61	137
168	Hartland	0.97	53	1.84	33	2.1%	21	0.42%	28	135
169	Andover	1.19	4	1.50	14	2.5%	35	0.26%	11	64

Data source: Census 2000, HUD income limits 2001

Prepared by CHFA Planning Department

9/28/04