Description of Proposal

The provision clarifies and enhances the application of the economic substance doctrine. Under the provision, in the case of any transaction to which the economic substance doctrine is relevant, such transaction shall be treated as having economic substance only if (1) the transaction changes in a meaningful way (apart from Federal income tax effects) the taxpayer’s economic position, and (2) the taxpayer has a substantial purpose (apart from Federal income tax effects) for entering into such transaction. The provision provides a uniform definition of economic substance, but does not alter the flexibility of the courts in other respects.

The determination of whether the economic substance doctrine is relevant to a transaction shall be made in the same manner as if the provision had never been enacted. Thus, the provision does not change current law standards in determining when to utilize an economic substance analysis.

The provision is not intended to alter the tax treatment of certain basic business transactions that, under longstanding judicial and administrative practice are respected, merely because the choice between meaningful economic alternatives is largely or entirely based on comparative tax advantages. Among these basic transactions are (1) the choice between capitalizing a business enterprise with debt or equity, (2) a U.S. person’s choice between
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92 See, e.g., TIFD-III-E, Inc. v. United States, 459 F.3d 220 (2d Cir. 2006).

93 In applying these tests, any State or local income tax effect which is related to a Federal income tax effect shall be treated in the same manner as a Federal income tax effect.

94 If the tax benefits are clearly consistent with all applicable provisions of the Code and the purposes of such provisions, it is not intended that such tax benefits be disallowed if the only reason for such disallowance is that the transaction fails the economic substance doctrine as defined in this provision. See, e.g., Treas. Reg. sec. 1.269-2, stating that characteristic of circumstances in which a deduction otherwise allowed will be disallowed are those in which the effect of the deduction, credit, or other allowance would be to distort the liability of the particular taxpayer when the essential nature of the transaction or situation is examined in the light of the basic purpose or plan which the deduction, credit, or other allowance was designed by the Congress to effectuate.

95 The examples are illustrative and not exclusive.

96 See, e.g., John Kelley Co. v. Commissioner, 326 U.S. 521 (1946) (respecting debt characterization in one case and not in the other, based on all the facts and circumstances).