

Low Income Housing Tax Credit Program  
 Applications - Final Scores  
 2018 QAP - HFA: 109.07 Scoring

Project Name	TOTAL POINTS	1.a. Non-Age restricted to > or = to 25% with 2 or more BR Points: 10	2.a. >= 20% of units @ or Below 50% AMI Points: 5	2.b. 10% of the total number of units reserved for extremely low income (< or = 30% AMI). Points: 5	2.c. Percentage of units are reserved for market rate units, at least 25% = Points 5 at least 40% = Points: 10	3.a. For non-age restricted projects each household must be homeless or at imminent risk or a veteran Points: 15	3.b. For both age and non-age restricted projects: Greater than or equal to 10% but fewer than 100% units reserved homeless or veteran Points: 5	4. Contracting with a VNA/ homecare agency to provide onsite health clinics Points: 5	5. 811, 1-5 units Points: 2	5. 2015/ 2016 MOU to 811, 1-5 units Points: 1	5. SMI to 811, 6-10 units Points: 3	6. Committing 10% of new units for 811 contract Points: 5	7. Public Housing Waiting List Points: 3	9.a. New rental assistance subsidy for at least 66% of units. Points: 15	9.b. Proposed and likely funds >29,999 per unit Points: 20	9.b. Proposed and likely funds \$20,000 to \$29,999 per Unit Points: 15	10. Phase I Environmental completed Points: 3	10. HUD Environmental checklist completed Points: 2	
Claremont Goddard Block	109	10	5	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	20	0	0	3	2
Tracy Street Apartments	107	10	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5	0	0	20	0	0	3	2
Bradley Commons - Phase II	107	10	5	5	0	0	0	0	1	0	5	0	0	0	20	0	0	3	2
Boulder Point Veterans Housing	See note 1	10	5	5	0	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	20	0	0	3	2
Bethlehem Workforce Housing	See note 2	10	5	0	5	0	5	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	20	0	0	3	2
Abenaki Springs Phase II	See note 3	10	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	20	0	0	3	2
St. Regis House	100	0	5	5	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	3	0	20	0	0	3	2
Apple Ridge Rochester	98	10	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	20	0	0	3	2
The Meadows at Grapevine Run - Phase II	96	0	5	5	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	0	0	3	2
Cochecho River Manor	94	0	5	0	5	0	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	0	0	3	2
Penacook Landing	88	10	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	0	3	2
Chandler Place Apartments II	82	0	5	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	0	0	3	0
Harvey Heights	74	10	5	5	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	0	3	2

Points Notes:

- #1 - project has a feasible 4% LIHTC project; individual category scores are shown for informational purposes, total score would have been 105.
- #2 - project failed to meet threshold criteria (financial feasibility), individual category scores are shown for informational purposes, total score would have been 104.
- #3 - project failed to meet threshold criteria (market demand), individual category scores are shown for informational purposes, total score would have been 101.

Only the categories where points were awarded are reflected.  
 For a full list of scoring categories please refer to HFA:109.07 of the 2018 QAP.

Low Income Housing Tax Credit Program  
 Applications - Final Scores  
 2018 QAP - HFA: 109.07 Scoring

Project Name	10. Submittal of historic project review materials to State Points: 3	10. State historic review complete Points: 5	10. Committed funds >\$29,999 per unit Points: 5	10. Committed funds \$10,000 to \$19,999 per unit Points: 3	10. Committed funds \$5,000 to \$9,999 per unit Points: 2	10. Prior Phase of project substantially complete Points: 5	10. All local permits in hand, including site plan approval Points: 15	11.a. i. CCA walkscore Points: 10	11.a. ii. Approved for 11.a. iii and in QCT Points: 3	11.c. Approved for 11.a. and preserves and renovates existing housing Points: 1	11.d. Preservation or restoration of historic building Points: 5	12. Projects that have a projected TDC weighted average of 5% over average of all others Points: -2	12. Projects that have a projected TDC weighted average of 10% over the average of all others Points: -5	12. Projects that have a projected TDC weighted average of 10% over the average of all others and meets high cost criteria Points: -2	13. Nonprofit and CHDO Points: 3	14. Scoring from Management Questionnaire Points: 0 to 10	16. Project design provides for a community room with a minimum of 600 sf. Points: 5	17. Project achieves Passive House Certification or Net Zero Certification. Points: 5	17. LEED Gold, NGBS Gold or Enterprise Green Communities Certification Points: 2
Claremont Goddard Block	3	5	0	0	0	0	15	10	0	1	5	0	0	-2	0	10	5	0	2
Tracy Street Apartments	3	5	5	0	0	0	15	10	0	0	0	-2	0	0	3	10	5	5	0
Bradley Commons - Phase II	3	5	0	0	0	0	15	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	10	5	5	0
Boulder Point Veterans Housing	3	5	0	3	0	0	15	4	0	0	0	0	-5	0	0	5	0	0	0
Bethlehem Workforce Housing	3	5	0	0	0	0	15	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	10	5	0	0
Abenaki Springs Phase II	3	5	0	0	0	5	15	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	5	0	2
St. Regis House	3	5	0	0	0	0	15	10	3	1	5	0	0	0	0	8	5	0	2
Apple Ridge Rochester	3	5	0	0	2	0	15	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	5	0	0
The Meadows at Grapevine Run - Phase II	3	5	5	0	0	5	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	10	5	5	0
Cochecho River Manor	3	5	0	0	0	0	15	8	0	0	0	0	0	-2	0	10	5	5	0
Penacook Landing	3	5	0	0	0	0	15	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5	0	2
Chandler Place Apartments II	3	5	0	0	0	5	15	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	2
Harvey Heights	3	5	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	1	0	0	0	0	3	10	0	0	0

Only the categories where points were awarded are reflected.  
 For a full list of scoring categories please refer to HFA:109.07 of the 2018 QAP.